

## Washington State Board of Licensure for Landscape Architects Meeting Transcript – February 9, 2023

0:0:35.580 --> 0:0:35.820

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Yep.

0:0:39.770 --> 0:1:5.960

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK. Well, it's now 10:02. Sorry for being late and I'm calling this regular Board meeting of the Washington Board of Licensure for Landscape Architects to order. And as a courtesy to reduce the background noise when others are speaking, we would encourage participants to mute their mics, or your phone if you've called in, when you're not speaking. One challenge is to be sure to remember to unmute when you want to speak.

0:1:6.40 --> 0:1:26.460

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And for Board members, to help us capture information correctly so that our minutes are correct, please state your name when you're making comments. The Board will provide an opportunity for the public to comment during the meeting and we will ask you to unmute yourself prior to the public comment period.

0:1:27.190 --> 0:1:34.400

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

To allow an opportunity for anyone who would like to speak. Thank you. So, Susan, could you please take the roll call?

0:1:35.60 --> 0:1:36.460

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

Yes. Chair Peters.

0:1:37.430 --> 0:1:38.620

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Deb Peters here.

0:1:40.40 --> 0:1:41.180

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

Vice Chair LaPierre.

0:1:43.450 --> 0:1:44.720

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

LaPierre's here.

0:1:46.390 --> 0:1:47.450

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

Secretary Crabill.

0:1:48.750 --> 0:1:48.990

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Here.

0:1:51.540 --> 0:1:53.520

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

Board member Robinson-Losey.

0:1:54.20 --> 0:1:55.290

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

I am here. Thank you.

0:1:55.550 --> 0:1:56.100

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

Thank you.

0:1:57.390 --> 0:1:58.20

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Excellent.

0:1:59.320 --> 0:2:3.830

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So the first order of business is the approval of the agenda.

0:2:5.450 --> 0:2:10.740

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

I need a motion for approval. Has everyone had a chance to review it, and..?

0:2:11.740 --> 0:2:14.0

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK, so I need a motion for approval.

0:2:15.10 --> 0:2:16.630

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Move to approve, LaPierre.

0:2:17.460 --> 0:2:18.590

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

This is Daren, I'll second.

0:2:20.730 --> 0:2:22.670

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

All in favor? Aye.

0:2:22.870 --> 0:2:23.180

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Aye.

0:2:23.600 --> 0:2:23.930

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Aye.

0:2:23.770 --> 0:2:24.150

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

Aye.

0:2:24.950 --> 0:2:25.610

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK.

0:2:26.700 --> 0:2:28.340

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Unanimously approved.

0:2:30.40 --> 0:2:39.990

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

The next order of business is the approval of the Minutes. If you have had an opportunity to review the Minutes from October 27th, 2022.

0:2:41.290 --> 0:2:45.840

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

I will entertain a motion for approval of those minutes, otherwise comments.

0:2:49.10 --> 0:2:51.70

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

This is Sharon. I so move to approve.

0:2:52.240 --> 0:2:52.940

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Do I have a..?

0:2:52.410 --> 0:2:54.400

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

LaPierre, second.

0:3:0.580 --> 0:3:0.850

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Aye.

0:2:55.700 --> 0:3:0.860

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

I have a move a motion for approval and a second all in favor, aye.

0:3:0.990 --> 0:3:1.330

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Aye.

0:3:3.720 --> 0:3:4.150

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

Aye.

0:3:3.200 --> 0:3:5.510

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Unanimously approved, OK.

0:3:7.490 --> 0:3:19.780

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Old business. I know it's been a long time, but Curtis attended the WASLA Conference last fall and if you have a report on that, we'd love to hear it.

0:3:22.610 --> 0:3:28.520

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

I'll just speak extemporaneously. I don't have a formal report prepared, but I can tell you.

0:3:30.420 --> 0:3:57.850

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

There was not a huge attendance. It was the end of the day and given the subject matter and there was it sounded like a pretty interesting offering in another room. So. But I think most folks went to that. But we had a small but very interested turnout and some people that are new and looking to be licensed and also.

0:3:59.60 --> 0:4:7.580

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Some folks that have been around a long time and a licensed and ASLA members for a long time, so it was a pretty good conversation and that.

0:4:9.130 --> 0:4:13.620

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

I did make a presentation and talked about what the Board does versus ASLA.

0:4:13.730 --> 0:4:25.420

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

And also about, like, what's happening in licensure across the country and some of the things that are being looked at, changes. And I talked about CLARB.

0:4:26.300 --> 0:4:31.0

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

And what CLARB does. And most of the questions were from.

0:4:32.770 --> 0:4:38.260

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

People starting out on the licensure track wondering... it was typical questions.

0:4:40.580 --> 0:4:42.500

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Overall I...

0:4:43.670 --> 0:4:47.880

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

I don't know. I guess if presented with that opportunity again.

0:4:48.850 --> 0:4:52.260

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

I would have to say because of the fairly low turnout.

0:4:53.310 --> 0:4:56.280

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

I might not offer to do it again.

0:5:0.150 --> 0:5:1.50

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

That is all.

0:5:1.520 --> 0:5:2.710

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

That's very interesting.

0:5:3.850 --> 0:5:10.500

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Because I know that in the past, we've had some good turn out and we've had, and apparently, you've had not so good.

0:5:12.650 --> 0:5:21.900

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Perhaps, from an outreach perspective, which we'll have a discussion later on outreach, it might, other avenues might be a better way of handling that.

0:5:22.650 --> 0:5:29.420

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

I think so, Deb. And I think for WASLA they must have had a last minute cancellation.

0:5:30.180 --> 0:5:36.900

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Because it really was last minute that I got a call. And "can you do this?" So it was more or less.

0:5:38.950 --> 0:5:39.740

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Stepping up.

0:5:40.840 --> 0:5:43.470

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

You know. "Yeah, I'll do it."

0:5:45.240 --> 0:5:48.970

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

But I'd have to think about it to do that again.

0:5:51.100 --> 0:5:51.510

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Sure.

0:5:49.280 --> 0:5:53.760

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Well, thanks for stepping up and taking care of that last fall. I appreciate that.

0:5:56.270 --> 0:5:58.110

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Any other questions for Curtis?

0:6:0.610 --> 0:6:8.720

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK. Next order of Business 5.1 on our agenda. The WAC Committee update and member replacement discussion.

0:6:10.70 --> 0:6:10.840

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Julia.

0:6:12.120 --> 0:6:20.290

Manley, Julia (DOL)

So as you know, Lin stepped off of the Board in October and he was.

0:6:20.370 --> 0:6:22.900

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Daren's cohort on the WAC committee. So we've lost a Committee member there. So we'll need to discuss.

0:6:28.670 --> 0:6:33.420

Manley, Julia (DOL)

You know, replacing that person. Where we're at right now.

0:6:35.300 --> 0:6:38.360

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Daren has reviewed the most recent.

0:6:40.320 --> 0:6:41.510

Manley, Julia (DOL)

WAC changes.

0:6:41.590 --> 0:6:52.160

Manley, Julia (DOL)

You know, draft. I've sent that on to Elizabeth Lagerberg, our AAG for her to review to make sure we haven't.

0:6:53.200 --> 0:6:58.840

Manley, Julia (DOL)

You know, stepped out of our authority anywhere. Once I received that back from her.

0:7:0.360 --> 0:7:10.680

Manley, Julia (DOL)

We would like to meet again. Kind of get a finalized draft together. And then it will go through an internal process here at DOL.

0:7:12.160 --> 0:7:39.150

Manley, Julia (DOL)

To determine when the actual work of going through all of the steps. There are certain steps you have to do if you're changing rules, where you have to put in what's called a CR-101 and then wait so long and do a CR-103. There's all these steps and we need to make sure that we have the staff capacity to take that on, especially with it being legislative session right now. So those are kind of what's going on, so.

0:7:40.430 --> 0:7:43.520

Manley, Julia (DOL)

We can do this one of two ways. We can let.

0:7:44.330 --> 0:7:49.220

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Daren pick, who he would like to work with. You know, he has to pick his favorite or.

0:7:50.900 --> 0:7:55.540

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Or someone can, if you'd like to volunteer to help us with this.

0:7:56.680 --> 0:8:3.210

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And once we have that person, I can send them the work that we've done so far so that they can take a look, make any comments.

0:8:5.360 --> 0:8:6.970

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And that's where we're at.

0:8:10.220 --> 0:8:16.730

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So do we have Daren, do you have a, do you have a preferred alternative or do we have any volunteers?

0:8:17.840 --> 0:8:19.250

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Whoever chooses the shortest straw.

0:8:21.520 --> 0:8:32.550

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And I would be very happy to do this, but I term out in September, and I know how long these things take. So you may end up choosing another person to replace me when I term out.

0:8:33.620 --> 0:8:34.370

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So.

0:8:34.650 --> 0:8:36.500

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

I don't know if he can work with me, but.

0:8:37.430 --> 0:8:39.180

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

I would volunteer to work with Daren.

0:8:40.960 --> 0:8:41.480

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

I think.

0:8:40.480 --> 0:8:42.490

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

I would very much appreciate that, Curtis.

0:8:44.600 --> 0:8:51.810

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

I think a lot of the heavy lifting is done, at least from my perspective. I don't know if you agree with that.

0:8:53.230 --> 0:8:55.660

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Julia, But, so I think it's probably just a.

0:8:56.690 --> 0:8:59.330

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

It's probably not that heavy a lift.

0:9:3.370 --> 0:9:4.180

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Yeah, sure.

0:9:0.300 --> 0:9:5.350

Manley, Julia (DOL)

I would agree I would agree with that and thank you, Curtis. We appreciate your willingness to step in.

0:9:6.950 --> 0:9:11.710

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So hearing no dissent on that, I think we've just assigned Curtis to that job.

0:9:13.820 --> 0:9:14.510

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK.



0:9:16.790 --> 0:9:19.560

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Number 5.2 the outreach discussion.

0:9:22.840 --> 0:9:31.870

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

We've talked about outreach with the universities and we need an update on how that's going to move forward.

0:9:32.580 --> 0:9:37.990

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And additional opportunities or ways that we wanna conduct that, Sydney...

0:9:39.190 --> 0:9:40.540

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Do you have some comments about that?

0:9:42.50 --> 0:10:11.120

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

I'm just looking for any updates that we have. Lin was supposed to be our contact person between the Board and WSU. And with his exit from the Board, I don't know if anybody else has any contacts at WSU that they would like to reach out to for any potential outreach there. And then Vice Chair LaPierre was supposed to be reaching out to University of Washington and I don't know if you were able to do that.

0:10:13.480 --> 0:10:20.600

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

This is Daren. May I throw out an idea here? And I'm certainly happy to.

0:10:21.560 --> 0:10:23.630

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Pop in place of.

0:10:24.810 --> 0:10:25.740

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

For WSU.

0:10:25.820 --> 0:10:30.610

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Well, in the interim, but it might make sense to.

0:10:31.260 --> 0:10:32.390

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Depending on who gets.

0:10:32.510 --> 0:10:50.430

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Added to the Board, if they have a stronger attachment to WSU than I do, or is, you know, more east side than we are, then that person might be better suited for that. But if, in the interim, I can stand in, I will. I just thought.

0:10:51.550 --> 0:10:53.850

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

When we had a full Board, it might make sense to.

0:10:54.520 --> 0:10:55.370

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Take another look at it.

0:10:57.900 --> 0:11:11.390

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

I agree with that approach, Daren. This is Deb again. I agree with that approach Daren, and I hope that we do find some candidates from the east side so that we have better representation across the state.

0:11:12.810 --> 0:11:20.510

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

But at this point, I appreciate your volunteering and we will. It's fine with me. Is everybody else in agreement?

0:11:21.560 --> 0:11:27.410

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Unless Curtis, do you have a connection to WSU that I'm not aware of?

0:11:27.140 --> 0:11:29.560

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Not any longer, Daren, no.

0:11:29.690 --> 0:11:32.120

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

OK, so it's cold either way, so.

0:11:37.30 --> 0:11:44.270

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK, so hearing no further discussion on that, Daren, if you would reach out to Washington State?

0:11:46.70 --> 0:11:46.660

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And.

0:11:47.800 --> 0:11:50.870

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Curtis, you're our coordinator with.

0:11:52.640 --> 0:11:53.620

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

UW, right?

0:11:54.80 --> 0:12:2.490

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Yes, I did reach out to the department administrator, Vanessa Lee, and I thought she was gonna have.

0:12:3.300 --> 0:12:13.740

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Whoever is charged with teaching professional practice this quarter give me a call, but that never happened. So let me contact her again.

0:12:14.160 --> 0:12:14.330

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK.

0:12:14.400 --> 0:12:32.360

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK, so I know Julie Johnson and I think that she may be the one who is doing pro practice because I just had lunch with a friend of mine who, we graduated together from UW, and she said Julie had reached out to her to come and do a presentation about public work for landscape architects.

0:12:34.850 --> 0:12:35.200

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Ohh.

0:12:33.110 --> 0:12:40.860

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And so maybe I can reach out to Julie and find out some more.

0:12:41.590 --> 0:12:43.0

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Because I do know Julie.

0:12:46.880 --> 0:12:47.490

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK.

0:12:50.850 --> 0:12:52.100

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK, OK.

0:12:44.130 --> 0:12:54.840

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

I have met her years ago and when I went in on the ASLA side and talked to her students, but it was quite a long time ago and I don't I don't know if she remembers me.

0:12:56.400 --> 0:12:57.830

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Probably didn't make an impression.

0:12:59.250 --> 0:13:8.410

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Well, she and I had had some e-mail contact a couple months ago, so I'd be happy to reach out to her if you'd prefer that. Otherwise, you're welcome to do that. I'm welcome.

0:13:7.920 --> 0:13:13.830

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

No, if you. If you would please and feel free to have her send me some dates.

0:13:14.820 --> 0:13:15.400

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Perfect.

0:13:15.830 --> 0:13:16.100

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Yeah.

0:13:16.600 --> 0:13:21.760

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Perfect. Let me take it quick note so I don't forget that. I wanna make sure.

0:13:22.940 --> 0:13:23.450

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK.

0:13:26.800 --> 0:13:27.450

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Alright.

0:13:29.40 --> 0:13:36.950

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And as far as the WASLA outreach that you attempted at the meeting last fall.

0:13:37.820 --> 0:13:46.830

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Do you have any other ideas, Curtis? You said you had some other ideas about outreach. Would that just be sticking to the universities?

0:13:48.280 --> 0:14:2.750

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Yeah. I mean, if we do go back to the state conference. Maybe we can do something like a short lunchtime report out to the whole crowd.

0:14:3.450 --> 0:14:6.920

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

And really brief, you know, maybe 15 minutes, something like that.

0:14:8.90 --> 0:14:13.750

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Because in the past we have participated in Board discussions.

0:14:14.130 --> 0:14:14.590

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Mm-hmm.

0:14:14.710 --> 0:14:28.590

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And those were pretty effective. We had fairly good turn out on those where we were just a member of a series of people on a on a panel discussions that worked out pretty well.

0:14:32.630 --> 0:14:44.430

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Perhaps we could follow through that way by volunteering to work with other people on landscape architect professional type discussions.

0:14:46.220 --> 0:14:46.530

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Yeah.

0:14:47.500 --> 0:14:48.170

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

That sounds good.

0:14:49.430 --> 0:14:52.380

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Because I know it's kind of awkward to be.

0:14:53.560 --> 0:14:58.350

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

The single guy up there trying to present to five people or three people.

0:14:59.830 --> 0:15:0.510

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Oh yes.

0:15:0.890 --> 0:15:1.390

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Yes.

0:15:2.30 --> 0:15:4.910

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So I understand, I understand your thoughts on that.

0:15:8.250 --> 0:15:15.950

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So let's kind of keep an eye on WASLA opportunities to reach out.

0:15:16.650 --> 0:15:19.850

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And see if we can be creative about how we could do that.

0:15:20.890 --> 0:15:21.230

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

OK.

0:15:21.820 --> 0:15:22.450

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK, great.

0:15:24.440 --> 0:15:37.840

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So the next order of business is the fee increase discussion. Have we received any new comments on that? And Julia, I'd like you to kind of give us an update on that.

0:15:39.360 --> 0:15:42.570

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Sure. So as you know.

0:15:43.710 --> 0:15:50.100

Manley, Julia (DOL)

The department started sending out notifications in early 2022 of.

0:15:50.930 --> 0:15:52.540

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Upcoming fee increases.

0:15:53.850 --> 0:16:32.480

Manley, Julia (DOL)

They, you know, sent out the planned amounts. Those notices went out ListServ, the governor's office, the legislature, and there were public hearings in November on those. There was public comment at that. And then the fees went into effect December 31st of 2022. Since then, we have received... We did receive one inquiry through the Board of someone asking about, you know, what the fees that they're paying cover and, you know, we were able to answer that.

0:16:33.710 --> 0:16:38.980

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And then staff have received a few comments from applicants and licensees regarding the increase.

0:16:39.60 --> 0:16:52.250

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Anyone wishing to speak with the Board was encouraged to attend the next Board meeting, or this Board meeting, to give their comments on that. And that's really where we're at right now.

0:16:55.340 --> 0:17:22.320

Manley, Julia (DOL)

I've asked staff to collect any comments that they're getting so that we can, you know, inform the Board of that. They hadn't been collecting them, it was a just when, you know, when asked "have you received any comments?" It was a few, they've received a few. And they've had a couple that have had said they would like to speak with the Board or discuss the fee increase with the Board.

0:17:24.590 --> 0:17:26.850

Manley, Julia (DOL)

So that's kind of where we're at right now.

0:17:31.60 --> 0:17:32.350

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Any questions?

0:17:33.970 --> 0:17:40.800

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So if we have any members of the public who are attending today, we can have them speak. I don't know if there's anybody online.

0:17:42.780 --> 0:17:43.690

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Yeah, yeah.

0:17:40.640 --> 0:17:48.140

Manley, Julia (DOL)

During the public comment period. Right, Sydney? Yeah. Yeah. So, during public comment they can speak, yes.

0:17:50.290 --> 0:18:4.910

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK, new business. Since Len Zickler retired, resigned from the Board in October, we are looking to fill this vacancy. Susan, do you have an update on that?

0:18:5.970 --> 0:18:16.630

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

Yeah. Excuse me. Yes, I do. The governor's office has received a Mr. Zickler's resignation, and their website has been updated to reflect the Board opportunity.

0:18:17.310 --> 0:18:25.850

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

They are currently accepting applications. I have sent out multiple listservs in November, December and in February for the recruitment.

0:18:26.640 --> 0:18:48.800

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

We have reached out to the governor's office to see if they've received any applications and we haven't heard back yet, but hopefully we'll hear back soon with some candidates to review. And Ms. Manley has assisted us with posting an article in the WASLA newsletter and hopefully that will be a greater outreach to get to more candidates.

0:18:49.900 --> 0:19:13.730

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

So if you know of any good candidates, please direct them to the governor's website. There's a link in the packet that you can just click on and take you right to the website. And just as a reminder, the Board requirements, the requirements to be a Board member is at least eight years of experience as a licensed landscape architect and a Washington state resident.

0:19:15.410 --> 0:19:39.300

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

And if anybody needs any assistance, wants further information or help with the application process, you could reach out to our unit mailbox at [rbsAdminSupport@dol.wa.gov](mailto:rbsAdminSupport@dol.wa.gov) and we'd be happy to help try to facilitate the application process or any questions they may have.

0:19:40.820 --> 0:19:43.130

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Can you repeat that website RBS?

0:19:44.590 --> 0:19:53.210

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

It's [rbsAdminSupport@dol.wa.gov](mailto:rbsAdminSupport@dol.wa.gov).

0:19:55.340 --> 0:20:6.260

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

And in the public comment section, the e-mail addresses in there. So if anybody has access to the agenda in the public comment blurb, you can get the e-mail address there.

0:20:7.990 --> 0:20:8.500

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Thank you.

0:20:14.900 --> 0:20:18.40

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And I have forwarded that application.

0:20:18.840 --> 0:20:29.610

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

E-mail, the e-mail that you sent me with the address for the application, to the governor's office. I've I forwarded that to at least four other people and spoken directly with one person about it.

0:20:31.620 --> 0:20:41.450

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And she the one person that I've spoken to about volunteering for this, she's actually interested in it. However, she gets her eight years in August.

0:20:41.990 --> 0:20:42.670

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

Ah.

0:20:43.930 --> 0:20:58.990

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So that was the only issue with her. She would be really excellent on the Board. And so I've encouraged her to continue to consider it, so that, because I will be terming out in September too. So she could take my place.



0:20:59.700 --> 0:21:0.280

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

Wonderful.

0:21:5.730 --> 0:21:11.30

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

I was mentioning before I've also been talking to someone, Deb. This is Curtis LaPierre.

0:21:11.610 --> 0:21:11.870

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Yeah.

0:21:13.540 --> 0:21:31.380

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

He's a practitioner that's been on public side and private side and been in Seattle area and general area for quite a long time now. So he sounds interested. I'm going to call him today and send him.

0:21:32.280 --> 0:21:33.910

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

To the governor's office website.

0:21:35.520 --> 0:21:45.230

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And I actually have another idea of somebody that I think she's had her license longer than eight years. So I have another idea. I'll forward it out again.

0:21:47.980 --> 0:21:50.800

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

Wonderful. That would be great. More applications the better.

0:21:51.270 --> 0:21:57.90

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Yeah, I do feel like personal contact is the way to do it. It just seems, it seems as though.

0:21:57.820 --> 0:22:3.880

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Going through the impersonal side of just, you know, publicizing it on WASLA hasn't been extremely effective.

0:22:4.810 --> 0:22:10.70

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

But I don't know. Daren, were you recruited that way?

0:22:14.390 --> 0:22:16.560

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

No, I was a random.

0:22:18.410 --> 0:22:20.20

Peters, Deborah (Chair)  
Random meaning?

0:22:19.540 --> 0:22:20.550

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)  
Random entrant.

0:22:20.790 --> 0:22:22.360

Peters, Deborah (Chair)  
You just decided you'd do this?

0:22:22.200 --> 0:22:24.870

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)  
I just, I looked at it and decided to try.

0:22:25.350 --> 0:22:25.920

Peters, Deborah (Chair)  
Cool.

0:22:27.420 --> 0:22:27.970

Peters, Deborah (Chair)  
So.

0:22:28.400 --> 0:22:32.540

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)  
And look at here. You get the best Board representative you've had in the history of the Board, right?

0:22:32.990 --> 0:22:35.150

Peters, Deborah (Chair)  
Absolutely.

0:22:34.450 --> 0:22:35.150

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)  
Something like that.

0:22:38.540 --> 0:22:38.990

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)  
It's like.

0:22:32.990 --> 0:22:39.930

Peters, Deborah (Chair)  
Well, I can't say the best. I can't say the best because Curtis is over there and Sharon's there. You know, we got.

0:22:39.930 --> 0:22:42.320

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)  
It's good to be neutral in that, probably. That's...

0:22:45.870 --> 0:22:47.200

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK. Well, thank you.

0:22:49.80 --> 0:23:5.30

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

We are now on to item 6.2 Board authorization. Sydney has some comments about how we authorize staffing administrative duties.

0:23:6.430 --> 0:23:17.680

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

I do, thank you. So, the authorizations that have been before you, they're the same delegations that you have seen that the Board has approved previously with a couple of slight changes.

0:23:19.920 --> 0:23:38.690

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

So previously these were called delegations. That change came specifically from Elizabeth Lagerberg who, from the attorney side of things, felt that authorization was a more defensible word than delegation. So that came directly from her.

0:23:41.270 --> 0:24:6.540

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

But these are still approving the same things that the Board has approved staff to do before. So complaint intake, investigations, licensing the initial review, things like that, all of the same things that the Board currently does when we need Board support in reviewing, or additional reviews for licensees, things like that. All of that will still remain.

0:24:8.660 --> 0:24:17.580

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

None of that is changing. But previously these authorizations were made specifically to Rick Storvick as the administrator over the Boards and commissions.

0:24:18.220 --> 0:24:40.750

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And especially the regulatory Boards, when these were put in place. And with Mr. Storvick's departure last fall, we had to review the delegations to make sure that everything was still able to operate the way that it has been and we're not requesting full day Board meetings for you guys to come in and do all of those things that staff is already doing for you.

0:24:43.60 --> 0:25:13.500

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And through that review process, and looking at it with the functional alignment and that the business and Professions Division underwent over the last couple of years, we felt, in conjunction with Elizabeth, that the appropriate level for these authorizations to be made to is actually to our division leadership and our division assistant director who reports directly to our director's office.

0:25:15.140 --> 0:25:41.390

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

But that is the one position in the agency that is over all of those functions that the Board is used to seeing now. The other thing with changing these delegations, we felt that it was better to make the delegation to that position rather than to a specifically named individual, so that if something happens where a staff member leaves relatively quickly.

0:25:42.670 --> 0:26:11.780

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Things like that. Whoever fills that position, even on an interim basis, still has the ability to direct all of that work on the Board's behalf. So it does seem like quite a bit of change from that perspective, but it's to properly align the delegation, or the authorization, where it should be, so that all of those functions are properly carried out.

0:26:11.880 --> 0:26:51.640

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And we would have had to eventually redo them anyway with this functional alignment, because with the shift, previously Rick's position was over the licensing investigations compliance, all of those different pieces that are now broken out into different units, and Mr. Storvick position no longer held that authority over those functions. And so rather than doing five separate authorizations to each of those units for really narrow specific things, moving this to the assistant director position allows any functions to be properly put where they need to go. So with that I'm happy to answer any questions.

0:26:53.430 --> 0:26:59.730

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Well, I do have questions about that because I don't have a picture of the org chart in my head.

0:27:1.70 --> 0:27:4.470

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So who is it? Who is currently in that position?

0:27:5.930 --> 0:27:11.240

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

In that position currently is Jennifer Clawson, and I believe she's held that position for quite a while. Julia, right?

0:27:13.20 --> 0:27:16.60

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Yeah, I believe it was 2017 that...

0:27:16.940 --> 0:27:18.910

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And so she's your manager, Julia.

0:27:19.600 --> 0:27:22.780

Manley, Julia (DOL)

She is our division head, so.

0:27:29.230 --> 0:27:29.900

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Would be helpful.

0:27:24.820 --> 0:27:31.810

Manley, Julia (DOL)

So the way the... We should have had an organizational chart to put up. Yeah, I apologize.

0:27:34.300 --> 0:27:39.400

Manley, Julia (DOL)

So, we have our division head. That's the... so, OK full organization.

0:27:40.270 --> 0:27:43.280

Manley, Julia (DOL)

The department head is our director, the director of the agency.

0:27:43.910 --> 0:28:25.150

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And then underneath that, our directors, assistant directors who are over different areas of the agency, for instance, human resources, our finance, you know, area, and then our Internet security and you know, technology and then our division, which is the business and professions division. So that person, that assistant director is who would be taking this authority and from that position we have our functional areas that all report to her.

0:28:26.420 --> 0:28:35.80

Manley, Julia (DOL)

So for instance in the licensing, I'm the assistant administrator. I report directly to Vanessa Simpson, who is the administrator who reports directly to Jen Clawson.

0:28:38.810 --> 0:28:40.380

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Does that help a little bit?

0:28:38.410 --> 0:28:45.340

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And I do have the org chart up. Susan, if you could stop sharing for a moment, I can share my screen.

0:28:46.980 --> 0:28:48.500

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Perfect. Thank you, Sydney.

0:28:52.850 --> 0:29:27.400

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

OK, so this is how our organizational chart shapes out now. This is not the full broken out individual pieces. This was to get everything on one page. But Jennifer Clawson reports directly to the agency director's office, and then under her she has a deputy assistant director, Sarah Pittman, who is over central services. They handle reporting analytics, contract management, as well as our unit, the Board and outreach support.

0:29:28.60 --> 0:29:46.830

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And the, with Julia's team, she reports to Vanessa Simpson and the licensing and customer support Services team, who reports directly to Ms. Clawson. Same with investigations. Their unit reports directly to Ms. Clawson.

0:29:47.940 --> 0:30:2.180

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Regulatory compliance, who, once we have cases that move forward for charges, that is the unit that then takes that up and works through that process. They report to Ms. Clawson then prorate and fuel tax does not directly impact the Boards, but they also report her.

0:30:4.160 --> 0:30:5.730

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

So now they.

0:30:7.30 --> 0:30:30.210

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Again, Ms. Clawson really is the one position that is now over all of those functions. And the benefit of that to the Board is that means that any issues that need to arise to that level, they're not just being handled at administrative level, it also puts authority that much higher up within the agency as well.

0:30:31.470 --> 0:30:35.100

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And why is putting authority at a much higher level a good thing?

0:30:37.160 --> 0:30:40.790

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Why is putting the authority at a higher level of a good thing? I'm sorry my Internet broke up.

0:30:41.70 --> 0:30:42.820

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Yes, that's the question.

0:30:46.380 --> 0:31:3.790

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

It places that authority with the highest-ranking member of our division. So that that benefits the Board in that all of those things that all of those different functions are overseen by the top person who can oversee that within our agency.

0:31:7.630 --> 0:31:7.900

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Hmm.

0:31:12.320 --> 0:31:20.330

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Well, I know from my perspective that seems like it's really far away from the actual responsibility level.

0:31:21.930 --> 0:31:24.900

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Putting authority very distant from the responsibility.

0:31:28.460 --> 0:31:28.800

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Well.

0:31:28.750 --> 0:31:33.150

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

What level was Mr. Storvick's position in the past?

0:31:33.570 --> 0:31:33.860

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

So.

0:31:33.930 --> 0:31:37.30

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Mr. Storvick was at this level here.

0:31:37.960 --> 0:31:44.800

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Where Mike George actually just vacated, in that administrative position. But this was Mr. Storvick's.

0:31:46.890 --> 0:32:1.930

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Well, that's and I can go beyond that. So that was after our functional alignment before the functional alignment, Rick reported directly to Jen Clawson.

0:32:3.580 --> 0:32:4.230

Manley, Julia (DOL)

So.

0:32:5.190 --> 0:32:31.460

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And all of these functions the four, or three, licensing and customer support, the investigations and audits, the regulatory compliance and the Board support, those were all under Rick's authority. So he, the staff that did the work answered directly to Rick. That's no longer the case with the functional alignment.

0:32:32.580 --> 0:33:4.230

Manley, Julia (DOL)

All of the licensing staff report through myself, Vanessa, through the management chain to Jen. Same for the investigations unit. That staff reports through their management, up through to Jen. That's the way it works now. So that's been kind of, all of those functions that staff do for the Board have been moved apart. So instead of having to have an authorization.

0:33:6.310 --> 0:33:8.870

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Or delegate, no authorization.

0:33:9.20 --> 0:33:9.780

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Authorization.

0:33:10.210 --> 0:33:19.300

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Yeah, that switch from delegation authorization, with each of these units, it's now just one under Jen.

0:33:27.450 --> 0:33:46.430

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

This is Sharon. So if I'm reading this right and looking at this because I agree with Deb, I just really hate huge gaps between authority and people doing the groundwork. But basically, this alignment and what you're asking for is to make sure that it's legally sound, the things that we're delegating. Correct?

0:33:47.390 --> 0:33:47.830

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Correct.

0:33:58.810 --> 0:33:59.890

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Any comments?

0:34:12.550 --> 0:34:20.100

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Ohh yeah I'm not hearing that there's any particular choice in this matter?

0:34:21.400 --> 0:34:41.480

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

Kind of not. But Deb, I got a question as I'm reading through looking for specific language on the designation and stuff. It says that authorize the assistant director of the Department of Licensing Business and Professions Division to designate appropriate staff to conduct the following activities. So we're giving approval.

0:34:42.280 --> 0:34:43.100

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

For.

0:34:44.20 --> 0:34:53.750

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

The assistant director, but the assistant director then has the right to appoint someone else in that oversight position. Is that what I'm reading?

0:34:56.100 --> 0:35:9.910

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)



So the assistant director, very similar to what Mr. Storvick did... So previously, the delegation was to Mr. Storvick, and then he would delegate out those responsibilities to the staff within his team.

0:35:11.170 --> 0:35:44.80

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

That team does not exist anymore. That team is now broken out into those five separate teams. And so authorizing Ms. Clawson to basically do the same thing that Mr. Storvick did, handing it out to the appropriate team that will then handle that function. So the Board will not see any disruptions to the service that staff has been providing. All of that will still carry forward. It's just properly aligning it with our functional alignment now.

0:35:47.620 --> 0:35:52.410

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

This feels to me like this is why our costs just went up.

0:35:53.870 --> 0:36:2.430

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Like all of a sudden, we're paying for a whole bunch of different organizations that aren't even related to our licensure of landscape architects.

0:36:2.700 --> 0:36:3.730

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

No, this isn't.

0:36:4.320 --> 0:36:5.480

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

That's what it feels like to me.

0:36:7.530 --> 0:36:9.480

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

So this... Go ahead.

0:36:7.380 --> 0:36:31.540

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Because it feels like if we're paying for costs all the way back to the director, the assistant director position and that person can assign responsibility for getting work done to anybody they want on their staff, essentially, because it we've just given them that authority. That doesn't seem like a prudent approach.

0:36:53.690 --> 0:36:53.970

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And.

0:36:32.570 --> 0:36:54.40

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

It's assigning it to the, assigning the work to the appropriate people to do that. And so previously Mr. Storvick would assign investigations out to the investigators within his unit. Now, Ms. Clawson will be assigning that work to the investigations unit.

0:36:54.850 --> 0:37:26.40

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

So just adjusting how that is. Is far as what the program has been paying for, there are indirect costs to the Assistant Directors Office that have always been paid by the program, but they are very miniscule and they are charged on a share basis rather than... So, for example, the real estate program being one of the largest that we license, they have a much larger share of those indirect costs than the landscape architects do being a much smaller program.

0:37:26.720 --> 0:38:3.370

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

The landscape architect program pays for 1.7 full time employees within the Department of Licensing. That number reflects 17 different positions that directly support the program. So the program pays for one and 3/4 of a position out of the 17 that support it directly. That does not include Ms. Clawson's position, or anyone on her direct staff. That also does not include the unit leadership, so the administrators and assistant administrators, including Julia. That is the direct boots on the ground people.

0:38:4.580 --> 0:38:11.550

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

17 different positions that support this program, and this program pays for one and 3/4 of that.

0:38:14.110 --> 0:38:19.460

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK, well, that was slight. That was a slight aside, but as far as.

0:38:20.400 --> 0:38:21.360

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Delegating.

0:38:24.490 --> 0:38:25.700

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Or authorizing.

0:38:27.480 --> 0:38:29.140

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Staff, it seems.

0:38:30.600 --> 0:38:37.930

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Aligned with what Sharon had said, it seems like authorizing staff at a little bit closer level to where the work is getting done.

0:38:38.750 --> 0:38:40.240

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Is the more prudent approach.

0:38:40.810 --> 0:38:47.200

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Well, and that's I mean that's kind of... That's how it works. So, Jen wouldn't be directly.

0:38:48.10 --> 0:38:57.120

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Like telling the investigator to go, you know, "here's the case and you need to go investigate that." Jen in her position.

0:38:58.320 --> 0:38:59.490

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Assigns out.

0:39:0.250 --> 0:39:9.520

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Duties in the broad sense. So, for instance, you know, she has assigned Vanessa and I to manage the licensing team.

0:39:10.240 --> 0:39:19.270

Manley, Julia (DOL)

We then assign the actual work. So like the incoming applications we have one customer service specialist who is our licensing.

0:39:19.670 --> 0:39:35.380

Manley, Julia (DOL)

SME, or subject matter expert, who knows the ins and outs of the RCWs and WACs, knows when to reach out to a Board member for assistance, knows when they can approve a license or, again, reach out to the Board if there's an issue.

0:39:35.920 --> 0:39:51.890

Manley, Julia (DOL)

We direct them, or authorize them, to do that work. So, it's kind of a, you know, it trickles down through the management that way. Jen isn't. She's not saying to.

0:39:53.520 --> 0:39:53.790

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Like.

0:40:6.190 --> 0:40:6.460

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

Yeah.

0:39:56.760 --> 0:40:6.510

Manley, Julia (DOL)

To myself or to Vanessa, "you do the work." She's, you know, it's this delegation, or authorization, down through the...

0:40:10.60 --> 0:40:12.770

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Those assignments are only made by job descriptions.

0:40:13.720 --> 0:40:16.950

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

They're not really personally made. I already know how that works.

0:40:16.100 --> 0:40:21.20

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Right. Well, yeah, it's yeah, it's by job description. But I'm saying, you know, that's it's not.

0:40:23.480 --> 0:40:24.320

Manley, Julia (DOL)

It's not.

0:40:25.410 --> 0:40:49.10

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Jen, like moving people around, you know, in that sense. The job description for the customer service specialist is specific to issuing licenses. The job description for an investigator is specific to doing the investigations. This just gives Jen the authorization from the Board to allow staff to do that work.

0:40:51.660 --> 0:40:52.130

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Sure.

0:40:50.40 --> 0:40:52.570

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Can I jump in for a second? This is Daren.

0:40:53.350 --> 0:40:54.810

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

To me.

0:40:55.620 --> 0:41:18.290

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

This sounds more like organizational semantics. And I don't think it will, ultimately, we won't see the difference, if I'm gonna put any money on it. So, you know, I appreciate the staff explaining it, but I don't have any concerns myself.

0:41:21.30 --> 0:41:23.890

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

So if I could, this is Sharon, I think.

0:41:25.650 --> 0:41:31.120

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

Deb, going back to what you were saying, part of the hiccup for me is that.

0:41:32.360 --> 0:41:52.730

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

I feel like we've always enjoyed a really good relationship with Department of licensing and we've known the people that we're working with and been able to connect really well for support, for investigations, for everything. It just seems like it's been a really good fit and there's been a lot of changes.

0:41:54.350 --> 0:42:19.560

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

And it does make me feel, and pardon the phrasing, but somewhat suspicious because suddenly this seems like a really big change and we're used to working with people who are much closer. And if that Julia, your explanation says we still will be, because it's going to try and, the flow chart, the positions will try and match position to problem and I get that.

0:42:20.170 --> 0:42:45.620

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

But it does seem a little bit far away in the way that we contact someone now. It's like OK, so where is the trickle-down effect? And for me that's kind of the hiccup. There's just a whole lot of words that make it seem somewhat impersonal. And we've had such a personal relationship to this point. So that's kind of where some of my hesitation comes in. It's just probably semantics.

0:42:48.220 --> 0:42:48.460

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Yeah.

0:42:46.180 --> 0:43:14.560

Manley, Julia (DOL)

OK. Yeah. It really is, because those relationships won't change. It will still be, you know if we receive, you know, a foreign transcript that needs to be reviewed by a Board member, you'll still be connected to that subject matter expert. You'll still be connected to the same investigators that you know that were, have been doing your work. It's really just kind of plugging Jen into what Rick used to do.

0:43:15.440 --> 0:43:52.110

Manley, Julia (DOL)

You know, you still have your Board staff. There have been. I mean, there's always going to be staffing changes. We're going to have new people. We recently with the geologist Board their licensing SME, she moved to Arizona. So we have someone new. And we're working to, you know, get that person connected and build that relationship with the Board members. So, you know, we can't stop, you know, turnover obviously. But we definitely, you know want the Board members you know connected to the staff that are doing the work. We don't, you know, we don't want that to change.

0:43:57.230 --> 0:44:3.640

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

The whole purpose of authorizing this kind of thing was.

0:44:4.450 --> 0:44:8.440

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Let's. I'm going back to CLARB.

0:44:10.560 --> 0:44:23.190

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

CLARB's suggestions for organizing licensing are to authorize staff to do the day-to-day work for efficiency, for Board efficiency.

0:44:24.70 --> 0:44:48.930

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And this kind of sounds like the staff is running the show and the Board is here as window dressing. So the Board has no authority to make this decision. The Board isn't the one actually giving authority but rather the director's office, the Assistant Directors Office is making the Board do this. That's what it seems like to me.

0:44:50.120 --> 0:44:55.920

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

No, not at all. And actually, this did not come from the Assistant Director's Office this came from my unit.

0:44:57.200 --> 0:46:12.540

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

The assistant administrator, administrator, all of our, all of our level. The same level that this has been at. This came from us to make sure that we are legally serving the Board the same way that we have been, but doing it legally through these authorizations. The counter to that, if the Board were not to have authorizations for these functions, it would be staff collecting licensing applications, putting all of that information together and bringing the Board together, probably on a monthly basis, to review those and to approve licenses, to review qualifications. And then telling us, "yes, this is approved, go ahead and issue it. This is not approved. Do not issue this license." Same thing with investigations. It'd be a much more in-depth process. And so this is just allowing us to continue serving the Board in the way that we have been without... Allowing the Board to continue serving the way we have been in the properly aligned fashion under our new alignment.

0:46:15.370 --> 0:46:38.660

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Right. It doesn't take away the Board's authority. So if, like I said, you know, if there's a question during, you know, an investigation that's still going to come to like the case manager. That case manager is still making that decision too. When, you know, the investigation is completed, it's given to the case manager, they review it and they determine, you know, either we need more information or, you know, we wanna move forward with charges.

0:46:40.160 --> 0:46:54.40

Manley, Julia (DOL)

We wanna do, you know, corrective action. That still that authority still lies with the Board. The same for issuing licenses.

0:46:55.0 --> 0:47:21.560

Manley, Julia (DOL)

If staff are unsure on, you know, if it's not as straightforward. You know, they've got a lab accredited degree, they did their, you know, their experience and took the LARE it's, you know... If it's not that straightforward and there are questions, those are still going to come to the Board and it's still the Board's decision on whether or not that is accepted and a license is issued or we have to, you know, deny a license.

0:47:22.670 --> 0:47:39.210

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And if a license is denied, the due process that happens still goes back to the Board. So if there were a hearing for, you know, a licensed denial or a hearing for an infraction, that would still go to the Board.

0:47:41.100 --> 0:47:58.660

Manley, Julia (DOL)

This is just authorizing DOL to do those day-to-day things to collect the applications, investigate complaints, do that kind of work that, like Sydney said, so we don't have to pull you in once a month to read through everything.

0:47:59.10 --> 0:48:3.170

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And I'm absolutely for that, authorizing the staff to do the work.

0:48:3.910 --> 0:48:7.710

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And we've always been in agreement with that. It's never been a question.

0:48:19.640 --> 0:48:19.830

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

But.

0:48:8.660 --> 0:48:22.490

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

My only question has been through this whole discussion is it seems to me that this is putting the authority much farther away than we had had delegated it in the past.

0:48:23.570 --> 0:48:30.50

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

But Deb, they've... I know you keep saying that, but they've assured us that it's not so.

0:48:30.810 --> 0:48:31.740

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

I wonder if we can.

0:48:30.820 --> 0:48:33.610

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

That's fine. We'll just take a take a vote and move on.

0:48:33.240 --> 0:48:35.380

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Move on to the next...

0:48:36.10 --> 0:48:38.220

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Do I hear a motion for approval?

0:48:39.380 --> 0:48:40.470

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

This is Daren I so move.

0:48:41.750 --> 0:48:43.400

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Second, anyone?

0:48:46.0 --> 0:48:47.220

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Curtis, second.

0:48:46.100 --> 0:48:48.150

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

This is Sharon, I second. OK.

0:48:48.310 --> 0:48:49.110

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

All in favor?

0:48:48.600 --> 0:48:49.740

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

OK, go ahead, Sharon.

0:48:50.270 --> 0:48:50.520

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Aye.

0:48:50.430 --> 0:48:50.920

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

Aye.

0:48:51.30 --> 0:48:51.580

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Aye.

0:48:52.90 --> 0:48:54.740

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK, it's approved. Let's move on.



0:48:58.500 --> 0:48:59.120

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK.

0:49:1.510 --> 0:49:8.280

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

The next item is establishment of Board goals. Sydney, you have a discussion on that to lead.

0:49:9.820 --> 0:49:10.890

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

I do.

0:49:12.470 --> 0:49:14.670

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And again, Susan, if I can share my screen.

0:49:22.720 --> 0:49:33.850

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

So this is a process that we are working through with all of our Boards to set just some priorities and goals and the direction that the Boards would like to move in.

0:49:35.820 --> 0:50:4.890

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Part of the reason for this is, we have a lot of terms or a lot of things that each of our Boards is working on, that the terms match, but the work being done or the goal with that term is entirely different. And so this is a tool that will hopefully help the Board as we work on things such as outreach, what does the Board want to look at accomplishing through that outreach.

0:50:5.690 --> 0:50:30.540

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

That we can make sure or that the Board team uses kind of a guideline to align the tasks being done. But it also helps us as staff as we work through properly supporting making sure that we are bringing things to you that are aligning with the ultimate goal of what you're wanting that information for. So just a little bit more of a communication piece.

0:50:31.990 --> 0:50:50.850

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Also helping us, understanding that we have 4 meetings a year, with committee meetings within, or scattered in between those meetings, and that we want to be as respectful of the Board's time in supporting that work as we can be.

0:50:53.90 --> 0:51:23.580

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Just making sure that we're charging ahead on what the Board's intent is and then for the next year, looking at taking the next bite or the next step with those accomplishments. This is not something that has to be fully completed by the Board today. This is just to begin this discussion. Eventually this will be a much, excuse me, a much smoother discussion at the end of each year, setting the goals and priorities for the upcoming year to accomplish over that next set of meetings.

0:51:25.200 --> 0:51:26.790

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

So the process.

0:51:28.480 --> 0:51:35.900

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

The reason this isn't a PowerPoint is just for us to use this kind of a quick whiteboard, and I'll be taking notes within this as we go along.

0:51:37.260 --> 0:52:2.960

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

The process for this will be setting the overall priorities that the Board would like to see. And then from those priorities drilling down a bit on the things you guys would like to focus on for this year to meet those priorities, and then setting up the support structure underneath that. Is that a staffing resource ask, is it a committee ask, what do we need in order to be able to accomplish that?

0:52:4.580 --> 0:52:6.890

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And then setting up just those next steps in each of those.

0:52:7.590 --> 0:52:17.580

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

So with the priorities, the five areas we wanna focus on are what does the Board want to focus on, not just this year but beyond.

0:52:18.10 --> 0:52:20.380

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And what do we need to?

0:52:21.530 --> 0:52:24.40

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Involve in addressing those areas.

0:52:24.830 --> 0:52:56.360

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

What is the process to address these priorities the correct way? And that doesn't have to be a one or two fold answer. There can be a lot of different ways to do it, but this will also give us a way, as staff, to track things that are being tried from one year to the next and help us provide you guys with success rates and gauges from year over year to say, you know, "we tried this a couple of years ago, it didn't really work. Here are the changes we think we can make that would make this happen."

0:52:58.290 --> 0:53:29.40

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

How will we measure how these, how we are addressing these priorities and how successful they are? And as these priorities grow and involve, how will they impact the Board's work? So one area that is common to all Boards is outreach. But the goal with outreach is always different. So, is it increasing licensee based? Is it showing the value of licensure? Is it attracting a new generation of licensees?

0:53:29.340 --> 0:53:50.600

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

We have a couple of Boards who have an aging workforce and are in desperate need of attracting a whole new generation to keep their industry up and running. So, things like that. What specific area does the Board want to focus on? Or there are two or three that are really a top priority with that? So just looking for the Boards input on what you feel the top priorities would be.

0:53:56.660 --> 0:53:57.570

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

This is Daren.

0:54:0.280 --> 0:54:8.870

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Perhaps I've missed this in the past, but have we gone through this exercise in the past?

0:54:10.110 --> 0:54:10.480

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Great.

0:54:8.480 --> 0:54:12.190

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

No, this is brand new for this year. And then we'll be using it carrying forward.

0:54:12.400 --> 0:54:13.840

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Excellent. OK. Thanks.

0:54:15.30 --> 0:54:35.320

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And again, this is not a discussion that will by any means be done today. This is just kind of give us a starting point. If there are a couple of things that the Board wants to throw out, and then we can e-mail it out to the Board afterwards and you can all kind of take your shots at what you want to see in each, we can compile that information and bring it back anytime.

0:54:58.190 --> 0:55:21.580

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Since I'm not hearing a lot of input, would the Board prefer to table this discussion? I can send this out and you can individually fill this out with what you would like to see. We can compile that information, know where there is overlap, and where there are differences, and then bring that back for the Board to hash out. What do you think that would be a better approach for this group?

0:55:23.640 --> 0:55:25.660

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

I do feel like it requires more thought.

0:55:27.210 --> 0:55:27.510

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

OK.

0:55:29.890 --> 0:55:30.860

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Alright then I will.

0:55:30.70 --> 0:55:34.120

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Yeah, this is Curtis. I'd like to.

0:55:33.870 --> 0:55:34.150

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Yeah.

0:55:34.960 --> 0:55:36.530

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Kind do take a look at this and.

0:55:37.670 --> 0:55:59.790

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

As I start looking at it, I almost wanna take a step back and make sure we really talk about our role and responsibility and describe what it means to be effective, how we how are we going to measure that success and what we set out to do and you have some of that here.

0:56:2.850 --> 0:56:5.510

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

But I wanna chance to kind of go through this and think it through.

0:56:6.610 --> 0:56:6.920

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

OK.

0:56:6.520 --> 0:56:10.210

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And this this feels like a workshop that can.

0:56:11.140 --> 0:56:14.830

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

That should occur as a discussion group.

0:56:15.920 --> 0:56:17.310

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Outside of a Board meeting.

0:56:19.950 --> 0:56:20.440

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Yes.

0:56:21.760 --> 0:56:25.760

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And we can call a special Board meeting just for this purpose. If the Board would like to do that.

0:56:33.260 --> 0:56:34.970

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

How the rest of you feel about that?

0:56:38.960 --> 0:56:40.450

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

This is Daren. I'm fine with that approach.

0:56:41.940 --> 0:56:43.640

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

This is Sharon. I think it would be wise.

0:56:45.580 --> 0:56:45.920

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK.

0:56:45.600 --> 0:56:49.590

LaPierre, Curtis (Vice Chair)

Yeah, this is Curtis. There's a lot here to kind of get through and talk about.

0:56:50.240 --> 0:56:55.550

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Yeah, I think this is a lot more than just a Board meeting. Check it off the list kind of thing.

0:56:57.550 --> 0:56:59.760

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So let's plan something.

0:57:1.260 --> 0:57:3.410

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

To get together and just work on this.

0:57:6.910 --> 0:57:7.270

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

OK.

0:57:7.60 --> 0:57:8.100

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

That makes more sense.

0:57:8.450 --> 0:57:30.250

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Yep, we will get this out to the Board. I'll get it out to you all today. And then we'll send out a poll here in a couple of weeks if the Board feels like that would be enough time, understand, once we send out that poll, then the meeting would still be a couple of months out. So looking at you know, a month, six weeks out for calling a special meeting.

0:57:31.710 --> 0:57:32.60

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Yeah.

0:57:31.760 --> 0:57:43.390

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And if we if we end up needing a postponement from that, we can always do that. If the Board needs more time, just feel free to communicate that with myself and Susan and we'll adjust as needed based on the Board's needs.

0:57:44.560 --> 0:58:9.650

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

I would like to request that we do it during March, if that's possible for the rest of you, simply because I know April's a really busy time. If Julie is going to attend this, it's a busy time for her on the LAC and I know, from my perspective, I'm planning on being away during April. So, seems like March would be a good time to do this and it's between Board meetings.

0:58:19.930 --> 0:58:20.140

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Yeah.

0:58:10.310 --> 0:58:20.830

Crabill, Daren (Secretary)

Yeah. This is Daren, I agree. I think we should prioritize this so to so it's fresh in our mind and we don't let it slip on the calendar. We can.

0:58:20.890 --> 0:58:21.800

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Yeah, yeah.

0:58:23.70 --> 0:58:31.940

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

This is Sharon. If we could do it the second half of March, that would be great because my son and his family will be living with me the first two weeks and it will be more than chaotic.

0:58:33.130 --> 0:58:33.390

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

So.

0:58:33.630 --> 0:58:34.560

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

That's a good reason.

0:58:35.200 --> 0:58:39.140

Robinson-Losey, Sharon (Board Member)

Yeah, with a new grandbaby. So I'm going to be very busy.

0:58:39.760 --> 0:58:40.440

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

How fun.

0:58:40.290 --> 0:58:40.900

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Well-being.

0:58:41.780 --> 0:58:47.790

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK, so let's try for something the end of March the 2nd, 2 weeks of March.

0:58:48.650 --> 0:58:50.100

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Sometime after the first two weeks.

0:58:54.610 --> 0:59:0.820

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK. Finally we are into item 7, the reports.

0:59:1.480 --> 0:59:6.260

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Let's see. There are no committee or task force reports at this time.

0:59:7.300 --> 0:59:9.160

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

The complaint status report.

0:59:10.460 --> 0:59:15.300

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

I don't think we have any at this time. Can you clarify that, Sydney, do we have anything?

0:59:16.50 --> 0:59:25.340

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

We did not receive one for this month, so I will follow up with the team that builds that for us and see what happened and make sure we get it caught up for the next go round.

0:59:25.820 --> 0:59:26.300

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK.

0:59:27.0 --> 0:59:31.810

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And a licensing count report. Julia item 7.3.

0:59:35.460 --> 0:59:37.290

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Yep.

0:59:38.370 --> 0:59:47.320

Manley, Julia (DOL)

So that's part of the packet, page 38, we've got it broken down to all licensees and then just our Washington residents.

0:59:49.760 --> 0:59:54.10

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And then our new licensees. We've actually had quite a few since our last meeting.

0:59:55.520 --> 1:0:4.850

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And one from one reciprocity, or no exam candidate, from my home state. So that's I just noticed that Detroit MI. Yay!

1:0:5.500 --> 1:0:5.900

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Cool.

1:0:6.390 --> 1:0:9.60

Manley, Julia (DOL)

So, yeah. We've had quite a few new people.

1:0:10.100 --> 1:0:10.950

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

All right.

1:0:13.370 --> 1:0:14.310

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And then.

1:0:17.250 --> 1:0:19.990

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Yeah. Just go back. Just carry on. Keep...

1:0:15.690 --> 1:0:23.280

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Did you want me to just go ahead and do seven?

1:0:24.860 --> 1:0:42.660

Manley, Julia (DOL)

OK, so Leadership Advisory Council update, just to let everyone know, the LAC as, we're known, met in January to discuss the initial nominee calls that we made. We've called everyone that was interested to verify they were still interested and talk about the positions that they were eligible for and what they're interested in.

1:0:43.900 --> 1:1:3.590

Manley, Julia (DOL)

We've now moved on to making reference calls for them and have a meeting later this month to discuss



those. And we are still expecting to have our nominee interviews in April. It was what Deb was referencing to me being busy in April.

1:1:3.660 --> 1:1:25.400

Manley, Julia (DOL)

I'll have a little bit of travel there to conduct the interviews. And after that meeting, we'll be moving on to having our final slate of candidates. So, pretty exciting work this year. We've got some interesting candidates and I'm hopeful we get some really good Board members and committee members out of that and that.

1:1:26.600 --> 1:1:57.570

Manley, Julia (DOL)

For my Board executive report, not a whole lot that wasn't already covered in everything above. I will be meeting virtually with Matt Miller, the CEO of CLARB next week. He has reached out to all of the member Board executives just asking to have a little meet and greet. He wants to talk to us about, you know, any new stuff that's going on in our state and the challenges and where, you know, CLARB may be able to help with any of those.

1:1:58.270 --> 1:2:21.0

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And then kind of give a report out on the Board of Directors organizational plans for CLARB. So, I'll have some more information about that. And his call is kind of timely considering we're in the legislative session right now.

1:2:22.680 --> 1:3:58.240

Manley, Julia (DOL)

We don't... we haven't seen any bills that directly relate to changes for a landscape architects. There hasn't been anything that would change the RCWs for landscape architects. We have had these sunset and sunrise bills come through again and we also have an alternative licensing standards bill that went through the public hearing last week, I believe it was February 1<sup>st</sup>, that is being recommended to pass. And that bill requires Department of Licensing, after consulting with trade groups, stakeholders, would include the Board, to develop a competency-based assessment for professional licensing. This really shouldn't affect us. It specifically calls out, like, for reciprocity, if the DOL and in turn and extension, the Board, already has a relationship or a connection to a national organization that already vets people's licenses. We won't have to do that. And as far as our initial license holders, because we offer that path through, you know, "you've got a high school diploma and you've had experience and you pass the test," which is competency based. This really shouldn't affect the Board. But I wanted to make you guys aware in case you wanted to read the language.

1:3:58.840 --> 1:4:1.30

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And I think.

1:4:2.340 --> 1:4:4.690

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Yeah, that's kind of it for us.

1:4:7.540 --> 1:4:12.550

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

That would actually be a really good article for the WASLA newsletter.

1:4:15.20 --> 1:4:17.100

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Well, I think you just volunteered to write an article.

1:4:17.900 --> 1:4:21.710

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Not exactly, because I don't know that much about it.

1:4:29.280 --> 1:4:29.550

Manley, Julia (DOL)

OK.

1:4:23.810 --> 1:4:34.0

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

The way you described it in a paragraph was what I was thinking. Just having a quick description like that of how it doesn't affect us.

1:4:34.680 --> 1:4:35.50

Manley, Julia (DOL)

OK.

1:4:40.770 --> 1:4:41.70

Manley, Julia (DOL)

OK.

1:4:35.750 --> 1:4:45.700

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

What the what the proposal is, and how we've already met the proposal. If you could just prepare a quick paragraph exactly like what you just summarized.

1:4:46.640 --> 1:4:51.720

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

And ship that off to them, it seems like that's a good approach.

1:4:52.150 --> 1:4:53.970

Manley, Julia (DOL)

OK. Yeah, yeah.

1:4:54.610 --> 1:4:58.560

Manley, Julia (DOL)

We'll probably wait to see if it passes before we do that because we don't.

1:4:58.620 --> 1:4:58.980

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK.

1:4:59.360 --> 1:5:0.470

Manley, Julia (DOL)

You know, we don't wanna.

1:5:1.790 --> 1:5:3.890

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Yeah, don't. Don't stir it up if it doesn't have to be.

1:5:3.570 --> 1:5:10.590

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Yeah, right. Yeah. So we'll wait. And if it passes then, yeah, we'll put that through. I've got my notes and everything we can use.

1:5:9.480 --> 1:5:13.270

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Yeah, it's. I'm not all that close to the legislative side of things. I guess I could sit there and read about a 50 paged...

1:5:14.780 --> 1:5:51.660

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Well, we certainly are. Well, this, they're actually, they've been fairly short this year. But we've had a lot of them. I think we had, before session started, we had a ton. And it's just kept going and going. A lot of 'em have required a lot of reading only to discover we don't need to do anything. Which, you know, is kind of nice, but we have quite a few on our... that relate to transportation that the business and professions cover.

1:5:53.270 --> 1:6:9.90

Manley, Julia (DOL)

For instance, we call it the, or a lot of people call it the "Uber Bill." Transportation network companies, so there's been a lot of movement around that. And we just had one that we were looking at that's related to autonomous vehicles. So that was kind of interesting.

1:6:11.700 --> 1:6:25.870

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So is there still as much pressure legislatively, a little off target here off of our agenda, but is there much legislative pressure against licensing again, as there was a few years ago?

1:6:26.750 --> 1:6:56.100

Manley, Julia (DOL)

No, not directly. It's really just been the Sunset Bill and the Sunrise Bill. And then there's some that are that are really good. There are military spouse bills that are coming through that you know, what they're requiring is that we expedite that license. So if someone's coming in, that's licensed in another state and they are military spouse, they've been transferred, you know, into the state that that application rises up in the line of things.

1:7:3.260 --> 1:7:11.330

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And that we've had that for military personnel already and this is just, you know, boosting up the spouse side of it.

1:7:15.210 --> 1:7:15.810

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK. Thanks.

1:7:14.410 --> 1:7:16.440

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Yeah. So. Mhmm.

1:7:19.370 --> 1:7:23.680

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

So the BOSS report item 7.4. It's pretty well covered in there. Are there any further comments besides what's in the packet?

1:7:39.650 --> 1:7:40.260

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK.

1:7:40.760 --> 1:7:51.130

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Then are there, Sydney, do you have any other reports, legislation, bill tracking, anything like that other than what we just discussed?

1:7:52.40 --> 1:7:54.400

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Not other than what Julia has already covered, no.

1:7:54.390 --> 1:8:0.0

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

OK, perfect. So I think we can move on to public comments. If... Do we have any members of the public attending?

1:8:6.120 --> 1:8:7.150

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Hearing none.

1:8:11.170 --> 1:8:17.290

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Let's see. I have no announcements to make other than what we've already discussed. And, Susan, would, can you review the agenda items and we can see if there's anything we need to add to that?

1:8:25.990 --> 1:8:26.440

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

Sure.

1:8:27.200 --> 1:8:35.40

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

I took down for Julia to do a quick article with the WASLA newsletter if the legislation passes. Send out meeting invites for the last half of March, the last two weeks. To do the Board goals and send out the PowerPoint so that you guys can have that information.

1:8:52.620 --> 1:9:26.580

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

And then there are there was some discussion in regards to the outreach and so Erin Crabill will stand in for the WSU, for the outreach, until maybe there's a replacement. The UW, would be Vice Chair LaPierre, will reach out to his UW contact and Chair Peters knows of Julie.

1:9:27.850 --> 1:9:35.780

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

So I'll make those notes in regards to who's going to be taking care of the outreach for the landscape architecture.

1:9:37.500 --> 1:9:38.470

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

And I believe.

1:9:41.870 --> 1:9:52.210

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

There was the WAC committee. I could set up a meeting in regards to get that going for the update.

1:9:55.340 --> 1:9:59.520

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And if we could wait until I can get that over to Curtis for his review. Let him review that. And then we can set that meeting.

1:9:59.520 --> 1:10:9.280

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

Sure, that would be great.

1:10:9.280 --> 1:10:9.740

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Thanks.

1:10:13.520 --> 1:10:13.950

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Alright.

1:10:13.570 --> 1:10:16.330

Nieves, Susan (DOL)

And I think that's it. Yeah. Sorry. Excuse me.

1:10:20.80 --> 1:10:28.480

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Sounds like we have covered everything. Do I hear anything else from any other Board member that we need to talk about?

1:10:31.780 --> 1:10:38.390

Peters, Deborah (Chair)

Hearing none, I call this Board Meeting adjourned at 11:12 AM on February 9th.